

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,750	06/26/2003	Chad Cobbley	3394.5US (97-0299.04/US)	7191
24247	7590 11/12/2004		· EXAM	INER
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550			FUNK, STEPHEN R	
	CITY, UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2854	

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Mn_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/608,750	COBBLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen R Funk	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or lead to be a specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the specified by the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rn. a reply within the statutory minimum of third eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on §	<u>3/20/2004 & 10/12/2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	ition.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies. 	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2854

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759; F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,269,742 and claims 9 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,089,151. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of recited steps of pending claim 1 is fully taught by the patented claims of each of the above patents.

Claims 1 - 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9 - 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,269,742 and claims 9 - 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,089,151. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the steps of "applying paste to said stencil" and "wiping said paste across said top surface of said stencil" are obvious, if not inherent, in printing a paste through apertures in a stencil.

Applicant is reminded that the purpose of a terminal disclaimer is not only to prevent the prolongation of the patent term of a second patent beyond a first patent but also to ensure that the second patent shall be enforceable only for and during such period that both patents are commonly

owned. Therefore, applicant must file a terminal disclaimer with respect to each of the above application(s) and/or patent(s) which formed the basis for the rejection(s) above.

The terminal disclaimer for US 6,584,897 was received by mail on August 20, 2004 and the terminal disclaimer for US 6,427,587 was received by fax on October 12, 2004. Both of these terminal disclaimers have been accepted. However, only three of the five pages of the fax transmission on October 12 were scanned into the file. Several attempts to locate the two missing pages and have them scanned have been unsuccessful. The Office sincerely regrets the delay. It is apparent from the record that applicant properly filed all four terminal disclaimers on August 20, 2004 since applicant has been charged the four terminal disclaimer fees. Accordingly, applicant need not pay the terminal disclaimer fee for the remaining two patents. Applicant has requested that an office action be mailed rather than attempt to resend the terminal disclaimers again.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2854

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen R. Funk whose telephone number is (571) 272-2164.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Hirshfeld, can be reached at (571) 272-2168.

The fax phone number for ALL official papers is (703) 872-9306. Upon consulting with the examiner *unofficial* papers only may be faxed directly to the examiner at (571) 273-2164.

SRF November 10, 2004

STEPHEN R. FUNK PRIMARY EXAMINER